## Problem Set 5: The Power of Classical July 27, 2023

**Problem 1** (When someone shows you who they are... [CGLLTW22, Lemma 4.9]). Given  $SQ(A) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ , we can form importance sampling sketches  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times m}$  and  $T^{\dagger} \in \mathbb{R}^{c \times n}$  in  $\mathcal{O}(rc \operatorname{sq}(A))$  time. Let  $\sigma_i$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_i$  denote the singular values of A and SAT, respectively (where  $\hat{\sigma}_i = 0$  for  $i > \min(r, c)$ ). How big does our sketch  $(r \times c)$  need to be for the following property to hold with probability 0.9?

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} (\hat{\sigma}_i^2 - \sigma_i^2)^2\right)^{1/2} \le \varepsilon \|A\|_{\rm F}^2.$$
 (\*)

**Problem 2** (...believe them the (n)th time [CGLLTW22, Corollary 6.12]). We now show that the previous problem implies a dequantization of QPCA [LMR14]. Given a matrix  $SQ(X) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  such that  $X^{\dagger}X$  has top k eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^k$ , along with a lower bound  $\nu$ such that  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \geq \nu$ , compute eigenvalue estimates  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^k$  such that, with probability 0.9,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |\hat{\lambda}_i - \lambda_i| \le \varepsilon \operatorname{tr}(X^{\dagger}X).$$
(1)

What is the runtime of this classical algorithm?

(Bonus: how would you design a quantum algorithm to solve this task? Suppose we are given a state preparation unitary that prepares a purification of  $\rho = X^{\dagger}X$  (i.e. the vectorized version of X), which implies both the ability to prepare  $\rho$  and a 1-block encoding of  $\rho$ .)

**Problem 3** ([Van11; GL22]). Suppose we are given a classical description of an *n*-qubit product state  $|\psi\rangle$  and a description of  $H = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_a E_a$ , where  $\lambda_a \in [-1, 1]$  and  $E_a$  are Pauli matrices. Show how to estimate  $\langle \psi | H^k | \psi \rangle$  to  $\varepsilon$  error in  $\text{poly}(n, s^k, 1/\varepsilon)$  time.

(Bonus: prove you can still perform the above estimate if  $|\psi\rangle$  is a matrix product state with polynomial bond dimension, meaning that, for some  $2n \text{ poly}(n) \times \text{poly}(n)$  matrices  $A_i[0], A_i[1], \psi_{b_1 \cdots b_n} = \text{tr}(A_1[b_1] \cdots A_n[b_n])$ . Here,  $b_1 \cdots b_n$  are bits.)

## References

[CGLLTW22] Nai-Hui Chia, András Pal Gilyén, Tongyang Li, Han-Hsuan Lin, Ewin Tang, and Chunhao Wang. "Sampling-based sublinear low-rank matrix arithmetic framework for dequantizing quantum machine learning". In: Journal of the ACM 69.5 (Oct. 2022), pp. 1–72. DOI: 10.1145/3549524. arXiv: 1910.06151 [cs.DS] (page 1).

- [GL22] Sevag Gharibian and François Le Gall. "Dequantizing the quantum singular value transformation: hardness and applications to quantum chemistry and the quantum pcp conjecture". In: Proceedings of the 54<sup>th</sup> Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing. STOC 2022. Rome, Italy: Association for Computing Machinery, 2022, pp. 19–32. ISBN: 9781450392648. DOI: 10.1145/3519935.3519991 (page 1).
- [LMR14] Seth Lloyd, Masoud Mohseni, and Patrick Rebentrost. "Quantum principal component analysis". In: *Nature Physics* 10.9 (July 2014), pp. 631–633. DOI: 10.1038/nphys3029 (page 1).
- [Van11] Maarten Van Den Nest. "Simulating quantum computers with probabilistic methods". In: *Quantum Info. Comput.* 11.9–10 (Sept. 2011). ISSN: 1533-7146 (page 1).